

Exhibit B

Evaluation of Environmental Documentation Firms

1. Evaluation sheet given to each of the three reviewers for their scoring of written proposals:

factors	allowed points
Completeness of Proposal	15
Project Approach and Understanding	15
Team's Experience in CEQA Projects	20
Professional Qualifications of Key Personnel	15
Experience of Similar CEQA Project Performed	10
Description of Obstacles and Ability to Overcome	15
Timeliness of performance; adherence to budget	10
<i>TOTAL POINTS</i>	<i>100</i>

2. Each evaluator added their scores for a possible total of 100 points. In addition, the following questions were asked to each of the three firms at the July 23, 2003 interviews:

- I. Introduction of Company
- II. Describe Similar Projects you've worked on
- III. Complications / Obstacles to this type of CEQA document you could foresee?
- IV. Do Costs include these obstacles?
- V. Clarify what the Basin would be responsible for doing re: CEQA compliance?
- VI. Any on site visits included?
- VII. Other Proposal Specific -

3. Each evaluator was asked to rate the interview on a 1- 10 scale (10 being best). That score was then multiplied by 10 to give a 100% number consistent with the evaluation score:

$$\text{Interview Rating Score} \times 100 = \text{Interview Score}$$

4. Both the written evaluation and oral interview scores were added and averaged resulting in a total score for each proposal.

$$\text{Written Evaluation} \times \text{Interview Score} / 2 = \text{Proposal Total Score}$$

5. Finally, the final scores from each evaluator were added to give a final firm average.

$$\text{Evaluator \#1} + \text{Evaluator \#2} + \text{Evaluator \#3} = \text{Total} / 3 = \text{AVERAGE SCORE}$$

Each proposal was ranked according to final average score